May 18, 2005

Ms. Anne M. Constantine Legal Counsel Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport P. O. Box 619428 DFW Airport, Texas 75261-9428

OR2005- 2642A

Dear Ms. Constantine:

This office issued Open Records Letter No. 2005-02642 (2004) on March 30, 2005. We have examined this ruling and determined that we made an error. Where this office determines that an error was made in the decision process under sections 552.301 and 552.306, and that error resulted in an incorrect decision, we will correct the previously issued ruling. Consequently, this decision serves as the correct ruling and is a substitute for the decision issued on March 30, 2005. See generally Gov't Code 552.011 (providing that Office of Attorney General may issue decision to maintain uniformity in application, operation, and interpretation of the Public Information Act (the "Act")).

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 226183.

The Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board (the "board") received a request for certain bids relating to certain requests for proposals. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Additionally, pursuant to section 552.305, you have notified the Hudson Group ("Hudson") of the request and of its opportunity to submit comments to this office. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). In correspondence with this office, Hudson contends that portions of its information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. We have considered the claimed exceptions and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect a governmental body's interests in competitive bidding and in certain other competitive situations. See Open Records Decision No. 593 (1991) (construing statutory predecessor). This section requires a showing of some actual or specific harm in a particular competitive situation; a general allegation that a competitor will gain an unfair advantage will not suffice. Open Records Decision No. 541 at 4 (1990). Section 552.104 does not except information relating to competitive bidding situations once a contract has been signed. Open Records Decision Nos. 306 (1982), 184 (1978).

You inform us that the bid labeled "Exhibit C" pertains to a request for proposals issued by the board. You further inform us that, at the time of the request, a contract had not yet been awarded and assert that release of the information would give advantage to competitors. See Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986) (request applies to information in existence at the time the request is made). Having considered your representations and having reviewed the submitted information, we conclude that the board may withhold "Exhibit C" under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

We turn now to the remaining requested information. Hudson argues that some of this information is protected by section 552.110 of the Government Code. This section protects the property interests of private persons by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision and (2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex.), *cert. denied*, 358 U.S. 898 (1958); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business... in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business.... A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business.... [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates

¹We note, however, that since the time of receiving this request the board has entered into a final contract regarding this request for proposals. Accordingly, section 552.104 may not apply to future requests.

or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939).² This office has held that if a governmental body takes no position with regard to the application of the trade secret branch of section 552.110 to requested information, we must accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under that branch if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5-6 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) applies unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. See Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. See Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm); see also National Parks & Conservation Ass'n v. Morton, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

Having considered Hudson's arguments and reviewed the information at issue, we find that Hudson has neither shown that any of this information meets the definition of a trade secret nor demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim. Thus, the remaining information may not be withheld pursuant to section 552.110(a). In addition, we find that Hudson has made only conclusory allegations that release of the remaining information would cause the company substantial competitive injury and has provided no specific factual

²The six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret are:

⁽¹⁾ the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company]; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business; (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

or evidentiary showing to support such allegations. Thus, none of the remaining information may be withheld pursuant to section 552.110(b). Because the claimed exception does not apply and the remaining information is not otherwise confidential by law, this information must be released.

In summary, Exhibit C may be withheld under section 552.104. All remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at(877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

DCM/JV/krl

Ref: ID# 226183

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Bruce Feuer
Vice-President of Business Development
The Paradies Shops
5950 Fulton Industrial Blvd.
Atlanta, Georgia 30336
(w/o enclosures)

Mike Mullaney
The Hudson Group
1 Meadowlands Plaza, Suite 902
East Rutherford, NJ 07073
(w/o enclosures)

Joseph DiDomizio Executive Vice President, COO The Hudson Group 1 Meadowlands Plaza, Suite 902 East Rutherford, NJ 07073 (w/o enclosures)